

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,408	10/28/2003	Venkat Rangan	112-0122US	5639
29855	7590 08/04/2005		EXAM	INER
WONG, CABELLO, LUTSCH, RUTHERFORD & BRUCCULERI,			SUN, SCOTT C	
P.C. 20333 SH 249			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 600			2182	
HOUSTON, 7	X 77070		DATE MAILED: 08/04/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

}	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
1	10/695,408	RANGAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Scott Sun	2182			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tate, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		ŕ			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) The string of the strin	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matt	• •			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
		·			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050728			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-3, 10-12, 19-21, 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamamoto (US PUB 2002/0152339 A1).

As per claim 1, Yamamoto discloses a storage processing device, comprising an input/out module including:

Port processors to receive and transmit network traffic; (paragraph 22)

And a switch coupling said port processors; (paragraph 20, 23)

And a control module coupled to said input/output module, said input/output module and said control module being configured to interactively support data migration. (paragraph 21)

As per claim 2, Yamamoto discloses the storage processing device of claim 1, wherein said port processors include table information related to data migration

Application/Control Number: 10/695,408 Page 3

Art Unit: 2182

and wherein said control module is coupled to said table information to maintain said table information for data migration (paragraph 29)

As per claim 3, Yamamoto discloses the storage processing device of claim 2, wherein said table information includes a barrier entry and said port processors delay data write operations if said barrier entry relates to said data write operation (paragraph 29, line 20, paragraphs 35-39)

As per claims 10-12, 19-21 and 28-30, the examiner finds these claims different from claims 1-3 only in statutory category. The references and reasons cited for rejection of claim 1-3 apply in the same manner as applied to claim 1-3. A further note is made regarding claims 10 and 19, the limitation of "at least one host and at least two storage devices" is also disclosed by Yamamoto (paragraph 17)

Application/Control Number: 10/695,408 Page 4

Art Unit: 2182

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4-9, 13-18, 22-27, and 31-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kodama as applied to claims 1-3, 10-12, 19-21, 28-30 above, and further in view of Arakawa et al. (US Patent #6,895,415).

As per claim 4, Arakawa discloses table information includes an entry related to the extents in the data snapshot, said entry defining an extent operation type (Figure 22)

As per claim 5, Arakawa discloses table information further includes a legend entry for each extent operation type defining snapshot operations for the extent (Figure 22)

As per claim 6, Arakawa discloses table information further includes entries referenced by said legend entry defining physical extent location (Figure 22)

As per claim 7, Arakawa discloses legend entries include entries indicating data not migrated, data migrated, and a barrier entry for data being migrated. (Figure 22, Column 8, lines 28-31, Column 10, lines 36-41, Column 11, lines 5-11)

Application/Control Number: 10/695,408 Page 5

Art Unit: 2182

As per claim 8, Arakawa discloses port processors delay data write operations if said barrier entry relates to said data write operation. (Column 11, lines 5-11)

As per claim 9, Arakawa discloses control module provides commands to copy data and places said barrier entry for said data being copied. (Column 11, lines 5-11)

Kodama's invention and Arakama's invention are analogous art because they are from the same field of network storage controllers. Furthermore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Arakawa's invention with Kodama's invention by adding Arakawa's snapshot module to Kodama's storage controller to provide backup and recovery capabilities for protecting Kodama's storage system from unexpected storage faults such as power-loss and drive failure. (Arakama, column 1, lines 15-24)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Sun whose telephone number is (571) 272-2675. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 10am-6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dov Popovici can be reached on (571) 272-2675. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ss 2/1/55

TAMMARA PEYTON
TRIMARY EXAMINER